

# Contents

---

<b>Summary .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acronyms .....</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>1. Purpose of and Need for Action.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Description of the Proposed Action.....	1-1
1.2 History .....	1-1
1.3 Purpose of the Proposed Action.....	1-3
1.4 Need for the Proposed Action.....	1-3
1.4.1 Traffic Demand and Service .....	1-3
1.4.2 Roadway Geometry .....	1-5
1.4.3 Safety .....	1-6
1.4.4 Consistent Travel Times within the Corridor (Dependability of Travel) .....	1-7
1.4.5 Transportation Connections .....	1-8
1.4.6 Infrastructure Condition .....	1-10
1.4.7 Economic Development .....	1-11
1.5 Purpose and Need Summary.....	1-13
<b>2. Alternatives.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Alternatives Development Process.....	2-1
2.1.1 Step 1: Establish Engineering Requirements .....	2-2
2.1.2 Step 2: Develop and Evaluate Concept Alternatives.....	2-2
2.1.3 Step 3: Develop and Evaluate Build Alternatives.....	2-2
2.1.4 Step 4: Identify Preferred Alternative .....	2-3
2.2 Range of Alternatives Considered .....	2-3
2.2.1 Engineering Requirements.....	2-3
2.2.2 Mississippi River Bridge Reuse Options for Roadway Uses.....	2-5
2.2.3 Mississippi River Crossing Options .....	2-7
2.2.4 Interchange Options.....	2-9
2.2.5 Non-Roadway Alternatives .....	2-10
2.3 Alternatives Retained for Detailed Evaluation .....	2-16
2.3.1 No-Action Alternative .....	2-16
2.3.2 Build Alternatives .....	2-17
2.4 Evaluation of Proposed Alternatives.....	2-24
2.5 Preferred Alternative .....	2-26
<b>3. Affected Environment.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Land Use and Related Characteristics.....	3-1
3.1.1 Geographical Setting.....	3-1
3.1.2 Geology and Soils.....	3-1
3.1.3 General Land Use.....	3-2
3.1.4 Transportation .....	3-2

3.1.5	Navigation .....	3-5
3.1.6	Utilities .....	3-5
3.1.7	Public Facilities and Services.....	3-5
3.2	Farmland Resources .....	3-6
3.2.1	Agriculture in the Project Corridor .....	3-6
3.2.2	Prime and Important Farmland.....	3-7
3.3	Socioeconomic Characteristics .....	3-7
3.3.1	Population and Ethnicity .....	3-7
3.3.2	Employment and Income.....	3-10
3.3.3	Residential.....	3-13
3.4	Air Quality .....	3-13
3.5	Ambient Noise Levels .....	3-13
3.6	Surface Water and Aquatic Resources.....	3-14
3.6.1	Physical, Chemical, and Biological Description of Surface WaterBodies.....	3-15
3.6.2	Groundwater and Groundwater Quality .....	3-21
3.7	Wetlands .....	3-22
3.7.1	Wetland Identification and Characterization .....	3-22
3.8	Floodplains .....	3-25
3.9	Upland Plant Communities.....	3-25
3.10	Wildlife Resources .....	3-25
3.10.1	Threatened and Endangered Species .....	3-26
3.11	Parks, Recreational Areas, and Other Public Use Lands.....	3-28
3.11.1	Bill Glynn Memorial Park and Iowa-Illinois Memorial Bridge Monument.....	3-28
3.11.2	Leach Park.....	3-28
3.11.3	McManus Park .....	3-28
3.11.4	Duck Creek Parkway.....	3-29
3.11.5	Great River Trail.....	3-29
3.11.6	Bettendorf Riverfront Trail .....	3-29
3.12	Cultural Resources.....	3-29
3.12.1	Archaeological Resources .....	3-29
3.12.2	Standing Structures .....	3-30
3.13	Regulated Materials.....	3-33
3.13.1	Hazardous Waste .....	3-33
3.13.2	Non-Hazardous Waste.....	3-33
3.14	Visual Resources/Aesthetics.....	3-37
4.	<b>Environmental Consequences.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Land Use Planning and Related Impacts .....	4-2
4.1.1	Right-of-Way Requirements.....	4-2
4.1.2	Land Use Changes .....	4-3
4.1.3	Transportation Impacts.....	4-5
4.1.4	Navigation Impacts .....	4-8
4.1.5	Utilities .....	4-8
4.1.6	Public Facilities and Services.....	4-9
4.1.7	Consistency of the Proposed Action with Land Use Plans.....	4-10
4.1.8	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts .....	4-10

---

4.2	Socioeconomic Impacts .....	4-11
4.2.1	Environmental Justice.....	4-11
4.2.2	Residential Relocation Impacts .....	4-12
4.2.3	Business Relocation Impacts.....	4-16
4.2.4	Property Values .....	4-21
4.2.5	Sales Tax Revenues .....	4-22
4.2.6	Property Taxes .....	4-22
4.3	Air Quality .....	4-25
4.3.1	Conformity .....	4-25
4.3.2	Microscale Analysis .....	4-25
4.4	Noise Impacts .....	4-25
4.4.1	Definition of Noise Impact.....	4-25
4.4.2	Traffic-Generated Noise Levels.....	4-26
4.4.3	Traffic Noise Abatement Strategies .....	4-32
4.4.4	Noise Barrier Analysis.....	4-32
4.5	Water Quality Impacts.....	4-36
4.5.1	Construction Impacts to Surface Water .....	4-37
4.5.2	Operational and Maintenance Impacts to Surface Waters .....	4-40
4.5.3	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts .....	4-42
4.6	Wetland Impacts.....	4-42
4.6.1	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts .....	4-44
4.6.2	Wetland Mitigation .....	4-45
4.7	Water Resource Permits .....	4-47
4.8	Floodplain Impacts .....	4-48
4.8.1	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts .....	4-49
4.9	Upland Habitat and Wildlife Impacts .....	4-49
4.9.1	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts .....	4-49
4.10	Designated Natural Areas.....	4-49
4.10.1	Mississippi River – Moline Natural Area .....	4-49
4.10.2	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts .....	4-50
4.11	Threatened and Endangered Species .....	4-50
4.11.1	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts .....	4-51
4.11.2	Mitigation for Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-51
4.12	Section 4(f) Regulation.....	4-52
4.13	Public Use Lands .....	4-52
4.14	Considerations Relating to Bicyclists and Pedestrians .....	4-53
4.15	Cultural Resources (Historic and Archaeological) .....	4-54
4.15.1	Cultural Resources in the Corridor.....	4-54
4.15.2	Measures to Minimize Impact .....	4-55
4.16	Special Waste .....	4-56
4.16.1	Hazardous Waste .....	4-56
4.16.2	Non-Hazardous Waste .....	4-56
4.17	Visual Impacts/Aesthetics.....	4-61
4.17.1	Concepts for a New River Crossing Structure .....	4-61
4.18	Energy .....	4-62
4.19	Construction and Operational Impacts .....	4-63
4.19.1	Natural Environment.....	4-63

4.19.2	Erosion and Sediment Control.....	4-63
4.19.3	Air Quality .....	4-64
4.19.4	Construction Noise .....	4-64
4.19.5	Traffic.....	4-64
4.19.6	Dust Control .....	4-65
4.19.7	Solid Waste and Hazardous Waste .....	4-65
4.20	Relationship of Local Short-Term Uses Versus Long-Term Productivity .....	4-65
4.21	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitments of Resources.....	4-66
4.22	Permits and Related Approvals.....	4-67
4.23	Summary .....	4-67
4.23.1	No-Action Alternative.....	4-67
4.23.2	Summary of Build Alternative Impacts.....	4-68
<b>5.</b>	<b>Comments and Coordination .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	The Effects of Early Coordination .....	5-1
5.2	Federal, State, and Local Agency Coordination .....	5-1
5.2.1	Resource Agency Group .....	5-2
5.2.2	Advisory Committee .....	5-3
5.2.3	MetroLINK Meeting.....	5-5
5.3	The Public and Interested Groups.....	5-5
5.3.1	Public Information Meetings.....	5-5
5.3.2	Small Group Meetings .....	5-8
5.3.3	Property Owner Meetings .....	5-9
5.3.4	Project Videos.....	5-10
5.3.5	Newsletters/Brochures .....	5-10
5.3.6	Web Site.....	5-11
5.3.7	Mailing List .....	5-11

## Figures

S-1	I-74 Iowa-Illinois Corridor Study Location Map
S-2	Project Sections
1-1	I-74 Iowa-Illinois Corridor Study Location Map
1-2	I-74 Year 2000 Existing Traffic
1-3	Crash Rates by Location
1-4	Crash Severity by Location
1-5	Collision Type by Location
1-6	I-74 Design Issues
2-1	Mississippi River Crossing Location Options
2-2	Proposed Mainline I-74 Corridor Sizing
2-3	Typical Proposed Cross Section
2-4	Southbound I-74 Bridge Re-Use Options
2-5	Quad City Area Transit Facilities
2-6	Quad City Area Bicycle/Pedestrian and Rail Facilities
2-7	Build Alternatives Key Map
2-8	Build Alternatives Alignment and Interchange Variation
2-9	Build Alternatives, Downtown Bettendorf Local Roadway Variations
3-1	Existing Land Use

3-2	Wetlands
3-3	Flood Insurance Rate Map
3-4	Potentially Contaminated Sites
4-1	Studied Noise Barriers and Receiver Locations
4-2	Mississippi River Crossing Bridge Visualizations

## Tables

S-1	Impact Summary Table .....	End of Summary
1-1	Crash Rates per Million Vehicle Miles by Direction of Travel .....	1-6
2-1	Mississippi River Bridge Lane Arrangement Options .....	End of Section 2
2-2	Interchange Options Evaluation .....	End of Section 2
2-3	Baseline Improvements for No-Action Alternative.....	2-16
2-4	Proposed Interchange Improvements .....	End of Section 2
3-1	Quad Cities Schools of Higher Education .....	3-6
3-2	Scott County, Iowa, Farm Characteristics and Crop Production (1997).....	3-7
3-3	Scott County, Iowa, Farm Characteristics.....	3-7
3-4	Regional and County Population Change .....	3-8
3-5	Municipality Population Change.....	3-8
3-6	2000 Detailed Minority Population.....	3-9
3-7	Total Employment (number of jobs).....	3-10
3-8	MSA Employment by Industry (number of jobs) .....	3-11
3-9	Major Employers in Quad Cities MSA .....	3-12
3-10	Project Corridor Income .....	3-12
3-11	Description of Noise Monitoring Locations Within the I-74 Project Corridor .....	3-14
3-12	Surface Water Crossings for Improvement of I-74 .....	3-15
3-13	Water Quality Data for the Mississippi River Near I-74 as Compared to Acute and Chronic State Standards in Illinois and Iowa.....	3-17
3-14	Summary of Mussel Bed Attributes Near I-74 .....	3-19
3-15	Wetland Areas Within the I-74 Study Corridor .....	3-23
3-16	Occurrences of Listed and Candidate Mussel Species .....	3-27
3-17	Architectural Site Summaries in the I-74 Project Corridor .....	3-31
3-18	Hazardous and Non-Hazardous Special Waste Sites of Concern in Illinois .....	3-34
3-19	Hazardous and Non-Hazardous Special Waste Sites of Concern in Iowa.....	3-35
4-1	Right-of-Way Requirements For Central Section .....	4-2
4-2	Right-of-Way Requirements for U.S. 67 Connections .....	4-3
4-3	Land Use Impacts Per Local Roadway Improvement.....	4-3
4-4	Land Use Changes Per Mainline/Interchange Improvement .....	4-4
4-5	Baseline Improvements for No-Action Alternative.....	4-6
4-6	Property Access Impacts .....	End of Section 4
4-7	Impacts to Public Facilities and Services Per Mainline/Interchange Improvement .	4-9
4-8	Summary of Documents Reviewed for I-74 Improvements.....	4-10
4-9	Immediate Project Area Demographics .....	4-13
4-10	Estimated Residential Displacements and Relocations Per Mainline/Interchange Improvement.....	4-14
4-11	Estimated Residential Displacements and Relocations Per U.S. 67 Variation.....	4-15
4-12	Commercial Building Displacements Per Mainline/Interchange.....	4-17

4-13	Commercial Building Displacements Per U.S. 67 Improvement .....	4-18
4-14	Displaced Businesses.....	4-20
4-15	Estimated Tax Loss Summary in the Downtown/River Crossing Section .....	4-23
4-16	Estimated Tax Loss Summary at U.S. 67 Per Mainline/Interchange Improvement....	4-24
4-17	Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level in Decibels (dBA).....	4-26
4-18A	South Section: I-74 Predicted Existing and Future Noise Levels – Peak-Hour Traffic Volume.....	4-28
4-18B	Central Section – Moline: I-74 Predicted Existing and Future Noise Levels – Peak-Hour Traffic Volume .....	4-29
4-18C	Central Section – Bettendorf: I-74 Predicted Existing and Future Noise Levels – Peak-Hour Traffic Volume .....	4-30
4-18D	North Section – I-74 Predicted Existing and Future Noise Levels – Peak-Hour Traffic Volume.....	4-31
4-19	Number of Impacted Noise Receivers per Interchange Variation.....	4-32
4-20	I-74 Noise Barrier Calculations .....	4-33
4-21	Common Highway Runoff Pollutants and Their Primary Sources .....	4-41
4-22	Wetland Impacts Per Mainline/Interchange Improvement.....	4-43
4-23	Wetland Impacts by Proposed Alignment .....	4-44
4-24	Summary of Estimated Mitigation Requirements.....	4-46
4-25	Impacts to Public Use Lands Per Mainline/Interchange Improvement.....	4-53
4-26	Impacted 4(f) Properties.....	4-55
4-27	Summary of Minimization Measures for Specific Properties.....	4-56
4-28	Impacts to Sites with Regulated Materials Per Mainline/Interchange Improvement .....	4-58
4-29	Impacts to Sites with Regulated Materials Per Mainline/Interchange Improvement .....	4-60
4-30	Impact Summary Table .....	End of Section 4
5-1	Resource Agency Group .....	5-2
5-2	I-74 Project Advisory Committee Members.....	5-3
5-3	I-74 Project Advisory Committee Meetings .....	5-4
5-4	Newsletters and Brochures.....	5-11

## Draft 4(f) Statement

1.	Proposed Action.....	4(f) 1-1
1.1	Project Description.....	4(f) 1-1
1.2	Project History and Purpose and Need .....	4(f) 1-1
1.2.1	Project History.....	4(f) 1-1
1.2.2	Purpose and Need Summary .....	4(f) 1-1
1.3	Proposed Alternatives.....	4(f) 1-2
1.3.1	Mainline Alternatives.....	4(f) 1-4
1.3.2	No-Action Alternative.....	4(f) 1-5
2.	Section 4(f) Properties.....	4(f) 2-1
2.1	Definition of Corridor Study Boundaries.....	4(f) 2-1

2.2	Methodology for Identifying Section 4(f) Properties Within the Project	
	Corridor .....	4(f) 2-1
2.2.1	Parks and Trails .....	4(f) 2-1
2.2.2	Historic and Archaeological Properties .....	4(f) 2-2
2.3	Properties not Evaluated in this 4(f) Statement .....	4(f) 2-2
2.3.1	Park Sites Investigated but Determined Not to be 4(f) .....	4(f) 2-2
2.3.2	Historic Sites Investigated but Determined Not to be 4(f) Properties.....	4(f) 2-3
2.3.3	Parks and Trails Avoided by Proposed Improvements.....	4(f) 2-3
2.3.4	Historic Properties Avoided By Proposed Improvements.....	4(f) 2-4
2.4	Properties Evaluated in this 4(f) Statement .....	4(f) 2-6
2.4.1	Parks and Trails Potentially Impacted by Proposed Improvements.....	4(f) 2-6
2.4.2	Historic and Archaeological Properties Potentially Impacted by Proposed Improvements .....	4(f) 2-6
2.5	Summary .....	4(f) 2-9
<b>3.</b>	<b>Impacts to 4(f) Properties.....</b>	<b>4(f) 3-1</b>
3.1	Scottish Rite Cathedral (Eligible for NRHP).....	4(f) 3-1
3.2	C. Ivar Josephson House (Eligible for NRHP) .....	4(f) 3-2
3.3	Knights of Pythias Lodge Hall (Eligible for NRHP).....	4(f) 3-2
3.4	Eagle Signal Building (Eligible for NRHP) .....	4(f) 3-2
3.5	Davenport, Rock Island, and Northwestern Railroad Depot (Eligible for NRHP) .....	4(f) 3-2
3.6	Iowa-Illinois Memorial Bridge (Eligible for NRHP) and Monument (Contributing Element) .....	4(f) 3-4
3.7	Iowana Milk Farms Company (Eligible for NRHP) .....	4(f) 3-4
3.8	McManus Park.....	4(f) 3-4
3.9	Summary .....	4(f) 3-5
<b>4.</b>	<b>Avoidance Alternatives.....</b>	<b>4(f) 4-1</b>
4.1	The No-Action Alternative .....	4(f) 4-1
4.2	Alternatives to Retain the I-74 Bridges and Roadway in Their Present Form .....	4(f) 4-2
4.2.1	Diversion of I-74 Traffic to Other Area Interstate Facilities by Revising Interstate Signing .....	4(f) 4-2
4.2.2	Diversion of I-74 Traffic to the Local Road System .....	4(f) 4-2
4.2.3	Transit and Transportation System Management Strategies .....	4(f) 4-3
4.3	Build Alternatives - River Crossing Location Options .....	4(f) 4-3
4.3.1	Alternative Alignment C.....	4(f) 4-5
4.3.2	Alternative Alignment E .....	4(f) 4-5
4.3.3	Alternative Alignment F.....	4(f) 4-6
4.4	Modifications to the Mainline Build Alternatives/Site-Specific Avoidance Alternatives.....	4(f) 4-6
4.4.1	Scottish Rite Cathedral .....	4(f) 4-6
4.4.2	C. I. Josephson House .....	4(f) 4-7
4.4.3	Knights of Pythias Lodge Hall .....	4(f) 4-7
4.4.4	Eagle Signal Building.....	4(f) 4-8

4.4.5	Davenport, Rock Island and Northwestern Railroad Depot .....	4(f) 4-8
4.4.6	Iowa-Illinois Memorial Bridge.....	4(f) 4-9
4.4.7	Iowana Milk Farms Company .....	4(f) 4-9
4.4.8	McManus Park .....	4(f) 4-10
4.5	Summary .....	4(f) 4-11
<b>5.</b>	<b>Measures to Minimize Harm.....</b>	<b>4(f) 5-1</b>
5.1	Minimization Measures for Specific Properties.....	4(f) 5-1
5.1.1	Scottish Rite Cathedral.....	4(f) 5-1
5.1.2	Knights of Pythias Lodge Hall .....	4(f) 5-1
5.1.3	Davenport, Rock Island, and Northwestern Railroad Depot .....	4(f) 5-2
5.1.4	Iowa-Illinois Memorial Bridge.....	4(f) 5-2
5.1.5	Iowana Milk Farms Company .....	4(f) 5-4
5.1.6	McManus Park .....	4(f) 5-5
5.2	Mitigation.....	4(f) 5-5
5.3	Summary .....	4(f) 5-5
<b>6.</b>	<b>Coordination.....</b>	<b>4(f) 6-1</b>
6.1	Coordination with the SHPO and Agencies With Jurisdiction .....	4(f) 6-1
6.2	I-74 Project Advisory Committee Meetings .....	4(f) 6-2
6.2.1	April 2001 .....	4(f) 6-2
6.2.2	June 2001 .....	4(f) 6-2
6.2.3	March 2002.....	4(f) 6-2
6.2.4	June 2002 / November 2002 .....	4(f) 6-2
6.3	Other Coordination Activities.....	4(f) 6-3
6.3.1	Agency Input.....	4(f) 6-3
6.3.2	Public Input .....	4(f) 6-3
6.3.3	Public Information Meeting #1 (July 2001) & #2 (July 2002) .....	4(f) 6-3
6.3.4	Small Group Meetings with Save the Memorial Bridge Committee (January & April 2002).....	4(f) 6-4
6.3.5	Bridge Workshop .....	4(f) 6-4
6.4	Continued Coordination.....	4(f) 6-4
<b>7.</b>	<b>Summary and Disposition of the Draft Section 4(f) Statement.....</b>	<b>4(f) 7-1</b>
7.1	Summary .....	4(f) 7-1
7.2	Disposition.....	4(f) 7-3

#### *Draft 4(f) Statement Figures*

1	I-74 Iowa-Illinois Corridor Study Location Map .....	at the end of 4(f) Statement
2	Mississippi River Crossing Location Options.....	at the end of 4(f) Statement
3	Process for Determining the Applicability of Section 4(f) .....	4(f) 2-10

#### *Draft 4(f) Statement Tables*

1	Applicability of 4(f) to Properties in the Study Area .....	4(f) 2-11
2	Appendix 4(f)-2 Location of Properties Potentially Impacted by Proposed Improvements .....	4(f) 3-1
3	Potential Impacts to 4(f) Properties in Moline .....	4(f) 3-3
4	Potential Impacts to 4(f) Properties in Bettendorf .....	4(f) 3-6

5	Summary of Avoidance Alternatives for Specific Properties .....	4(f) 4-12
6	Summary of Minimization Measures for Specific Properties .....	4(f) 5-6
7	4(f) Properties Potentially Impacted .....	4(f) 7-1

***Draft 4(f) Statement Appendices***

- 4(f)-1 4(f) Resources Studied
- 4(f)-2 Properties Potentially Impacted
- 4(f)-3 Minimization Options
- 4(f)-4 Additional 4(f) Property Descriptions
- 4(f)-5 Correspondence

**Appendices**

- A Build Alternatives Exhibit
- B Aerial Photo Exhibit
- C Correspondence
- D Detailed Action Report
- E List of Preparers
- F References
- G Distribution List
- H Index

# Acronyms

---

2025 RTP	2025 Quad City Area Long-Range Transportation Plan
AASTHO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
ADID	Advanced Identified Wetlands
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
ASTM	American Standard of Testing Measures
BMP	Best Management Practices
BSC	Biological Stream Characterization
CAA	Clean Air Act
C-D	Collector-Distributor
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CERCLIS	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CO	Carbon Monoxide
Corps	US Army Corps of Engineers
COSIM	Carbon Monoxide Screen for Intersection Modeling
CWA	Clean Water Act
dBA	A-weighted decibel unit
DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
F	Fahrenheit
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Maps
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement

FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Maps
FQI	Floristic Quality Index
ft	Feet
GIS	Geographic Information System
HHS	Health and Human Services
HUD	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
IDNR-OWR	Illinois Department of Natural Resources-Office of Water Resources
IEPA	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Illinois DNR	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
Illinois DOT	Illinois Department of Transportation
IHPA	Illinois Historic Preservation Agency
in	Inch
INAI	Illinois Natural Areas Inventory
INHS	Illinois Natural History Survey
Iowa DNR	Iowa Department of Natural Resources
Iowa DOT	Iowa Department of Transportation
ISGS	Illinois State Geological Survey
ITS	Intelligent Transportation System
IWPA	Interagency Wetland Policy Act
L	Liter
LOS	Level-of-Service
LUST	Leaking Underground Storage Tanks
MetroLINK	Rock Island County Metropolitan Mass Transit District
MG	Municipal Groups
mi	Mile
MIS	Mississippi River Crossing Major Investment Study
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area

NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAC	Noise Abatement Criteria
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFA	No Further Action
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Study Program
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen Dioxide
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priority List
NRCS	National Resource Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NWI	National Wetland Inventory
O <sub>3</sub>	Ozone
PESA	Preliminary Environmental Site Assessment
PFO <sup>1</sup>	Palustrine Forested Wetlands
Pb	Lead
PM <sub>10</sub>	particulate matter
ppm	parts per million
RCRA	Recovery Act
RCRIS	Recovery Information System
ROD	Record of Decision
RTA	Regional Transportation Authority
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SHWS	State Hazardous Waste Sites
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulfur Dioxide
SOV	Single-occupancy vehicle
TDM	Travel demand management
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load

TNM	Traffic Noise Model
TSM	Transportation System Management
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USDOT	US Department of Transportation
USEPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	US Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	US Geological Survey
USNPS	US National Park Service
VHD	Vehicle hours of delay
VMT	Vehicle miles of travel
VOCs	Volatile organic compounds
VPD	Vehicles per Day
VPH	Vehicles per Hour